

Helly-type problems and families of convex sets satisfying (p, q) -property

Andrey Myatelin

Scientific advisor - Alexander Polyanskii

March 5th 2024

- 1 Classic results
 - Helly's Theorem
 - Generalizations of Helly's theorem
- 2 Extension of colorful Helly Theorem
- 3 Our problem and current progress

Helly's Theorem

Helly's theorem is one of the cornerstones of combinatorial convexity, providing an effective method for determining the intersection pattern of a finite family of convex sets

Theorem 1 (Helly's Theorem)

Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If every $d + 1$ or fewer sets of them have a non-empty intersection, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$, where $\bigcap \mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{C \in \mathcal{F}} C$

Generalizations of Helly's theorem

Theorem 2 (fractional Helly)

Let $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and $d \geq 2$ be fixed. If \mathcal{F} is a family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d , $|\mathcal{F}| = n$, with at least $\alpha \binom{n}{d+1}$ intersecting $d + 1$ tuples, then there exists an intersecting subfamily $\mathcal{F}' \subset \mathcal{F}$, with $|\mathcal{F}'| \geq \beta n$, where $\beta > 0$ is a constant that depends only on d and α .

Theorem 3 (colorful Helly)

Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{d+1}$ be finite families of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d+1} C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, C_{d+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{d+1}$ then there exists $i \in [d + 1]$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_i \neq \emptyset$

Extension of colorful Helly Theorem

The colorful Helly theorem only provides information that some family has a non-empty intersection, and we do not know anything else about this intersection. Next result provides some additional information on it

Theorem 4 (W.Rao)

Let $\mathcal{F}_1, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{d+1}$ be finite families of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . If $\bigcap_{i=1}^{d+1} C_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $C_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1, \dots, C_{d+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{d+1}$ then $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} \dim \bigcap \mathcal{F}_i \geq 0$, where $\dim \emptyset = -1$

Method of Proof of Theorem 4

The proof of Theorem 5 uses reduction to polytopes and considers minimal with respect to inclusion compact convex set U such that families $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap U, \dots, \mathcal{F}_{d+1} \cap U$ satisfy colorful Helly property.

It is easy to see that U is a polytope and every vertex of U is contained in the intersection of some family $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_i$. Then the statement of the theorem is proved by induction on d .

The Problem

Let \mathcal{F} be a finite family of convex sets in \mathbb{R}^d . For $p \geq q \geq d + 1$ we say that \mathcal{F} has (p, q) property if among any p sets from \mathcal{F} there are q having a common point

It is well known that for any family \mathcal{F} in \mathbb{R}^d satisfying the (p, q) property there is a set of fixed size $HD_d(p, q)$ that intersects all the sets in \mathcal{F} .

However, the minimal value of $HD_d(p, q)$ is unknown even for $HD_2(4, 3)$. It is only known that $HD_2(4, 3) \geq 3$ and $HD_2(4, 3) \leq 9$ (Daniel MCGinnis)

The Problem

It is interesting to see if we can apply method of proof of Theorem 4 McGinnis's paper to get a better upper bound on $HD_d(p, q)$ and, as the easiest case, $HD_2(4, 3)$

Following the method of proof of Theorem 4, consider family \mathcal{U} of all compact convex sets U such that $\mathcal{F} \cap U$ has $(4,3)$ -property.

It is easy to check that \mathcal{U} has minimal element U with respect to inclusion and that U is a polytope.

Then it was proved that for every vertex v of U there are two families C_1, C_2 from \mathcal{F} such that $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap U = \{v\}$. Using this fact it can be shown that if $\dim U < 2$ \mathcal{F} can be pierced by 3 points.

In case when $\dim U = 2$ we are working on getting an upper bound of $HD_2(4, 3)$ using topological methods similar to McGinnis's paper

- [1] Daniel McGinnis "A family of convex sets in the plane satisfying the $(4,3)$ -property can be pierced by nine points"<https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.13195>