

# (4,3)-families of convex sets on a plane

Andrey Myatelin, advisor Alexander Polyanskii

Moscow Institute of Physics and Technology

May 2024

УДК 519.157.3

We study the next problem. Let  $p$  and  $q$  be positive integers and let  $p \geq q$ . A family  $\mathcal{F}$  of convex sets is called satisfying  $(p, q)$ -property or just a  $(p, q)$ -family if among any  $p$  members of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are  $q$  of them having a point in common. For a (finite) family of sets  $\mathcal{C}$  transversal number of  $\mathcal{C}$ , denoted by  $\tau(\mathcal{C})$ , is the minimal cardinality of a set piercing  $\mathcal{C}$ , i.e. intersecting all the members of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

The  $(p, q)$  problem, stated by Hadwiger and Debrunner in [2], asks if for any positive integers  $p, q, d$  satisfying  $p \geq q \geq d + 1$  there is a number  $c$  such that any finite  $(p, q)$ -family of convex sets in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  can be pierced by  $c$  points. A positive answer on this question was given by N.Alon and D.Kleitman in [1]. The smallest number  $c$  that satisfies conditions of  $(p, q)$  theorem is denoted by  $HD_d(p, q)$ . In [2] Handiger and Debrunner prove that if  $(d - 1)p < (q - 1)d$ , then  $HD_d(p, q) = p - q + 1$ . So, the "smallest" case when we don't know the exact value of  $HD_d(p, q)$  is  $(p, q) = (4, 3)$  with  $d = 2$ . The upper bound of  $HD_2(4, 3)$  in Alon and Kleitman's proof is 343, which was later improved in [3] by Kleitman, Gyárfás and Tóth who proved that  $3 \leq HD_2(4, 3) \leq 13$ . The latest improvement in the upper bound is 9 made by D.McGinnis [4]. Our goal is to find better upper bound of  $HD_2(4, 3)$  using the construction of the minimal polygon preserving the  $(4, 3)$  property of the family.

From now on let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite  $(4, 3)$ -family of convex sets on a plane. Consider  $\mathcal{U}$  - the set of all compact convex sets  $U$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that for any distinct elements  $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4$  of  $\mathcal{F}$  there are three indices  $i_1, i_2, i_3 \in [4]$  such that  $i_1 < i_2 < i_3$  and  $U \cap \bigcap_{j=1}^3 A_{i_j} \neq \emptyset$ . In other words, intersection with  $U$  from  $\mathcal{U}$  preserves  $(4, 3)$ -property of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a partially ordered set, where for two sets  $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{U}$   $U_1 \leq U_2$  if and only if  $U_1 \subseteq U_2$ . We prove the next result:

**Proposition 1.** *There is a minimal element of  $\mathcal{U}$ , in the sense introduces earlier. Moreover, any such minimal element  $U$  is a polygon and for any vertex  $v$  of  $U$  there are two distinct sets  $C_1, C_2$  from  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap U = \{v\}$*

We will call such minimal polygon a minimal polygon of family  $\mathcal{F}$ . Note that if we have a  $(4, 3)$ -family of pairwise intersecting elements, i.e. the  $(2, 2)$ -property, we can consider a set of convex compact sets that preserve both  $(4, 3)$ -

and  $(2, 2)$ -properties and in that case there also is a minimal polygon with the same features. The next claim allows us to focus on the case when the dimension of minimal polygon of  $\mathcal{F}$  is equal to 2:

**Proposition 2.** *If  $U$  is a minimal polygon of  $(4, 3)$ -family  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\dim U \leq 1$ , then  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq 2$*

The general case when  $\dim(U) = 2$  is rather complicated, but in some special cases we get positive results

**Proposition 3.** *If  $U$  is a minimal polygon of  $(4, 3)$ -family  $\mathcal{F}$  and there is an edge  $e$  of  $U$  and a set  $C \in \mathcal{F}$  such that  $C \cap U = e$  then  $\tau(\mathcal{F}) \leq 6$*

Also, using the minimal polygon, we prove the next, likely new, result, which may be of independent interest:

**Proposition 4.** *Any  $(4, 3)$ -family of convex sets on a plane can be pierced by a union of one line and one point*

## References

- [1] Noga Alon and Daniel J Kleitman. Piercing convex sets and the hadwiger-debrunner  $(p, q)$ -problem. *Advances in Mathematics*, 96(1):103–112, 1992.
- [2] Hugo Hadwiger and Hans E. Debrunner. Über eine variante zum hellyschen satz. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 8:309–313, 1957.
- [3] Daniel Kleitman, András Gyárfás, and Géza Tóth. Convex sets in the plane with three of every four meeting. *Combinatorica*, 21:221–232, 04 2001.
- [4] Daniel McGinnis. A family of convex sets in the plane satisfying the  $(4, 3)$ -property can be pierced by nine points, 2020.